UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,593	05/08/2006	Philip Thonhauser	Philip THONHAUSER-2 PCT	1729
25889 COLLARD & I	7590 05/06/200 ROE, P.C.		EXAMINER	
1077 NORTHE	RN BOULEVARD		DEO, DUY VU NGUYEN	
ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/578,593	THONHAUSER, PHILIP			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Duy-Vu N. Deo	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the orecast.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/8/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/578,593 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AT408987B (referred to as AT) and further in review of Eriksson (WO 98/42812) and Wegner (US 2003/0151024).

AT describes a cleaning and disinfection composition comprises permanganates and sodium peroxodisulfate (claimed oxidizing agent) (abs.; page 6, line 25-30). Unlike claimed invention, AT doesn't describe a pH buffer substance and polyphosphate. Eriksson teaches a cleaning agent comprising sodium percarbonate (claimed pH buffer substance) (page 1, line 25-32) and Wegner teaches a disinfection composition comprising polyphosphates such as sodium polyphosphate (paragraphs 10, 25). One skilled in the art would find it obvious to add sodium percarbonate and polyphosphates in light of Eriksson and Wegner because they teach that the sodium percarbonate is the active washing and cleaning component (Eriksson, page 1, line 28) and polyphosphate acts as a stabilizer and accelerator (paragraph 10). Also, using known elements in the art without changes in their respective functions would be obvious and yield predictable results to one skilled in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,593 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

Referring to claims 6, using polyphosphate such as sodium tripolyphosphate or sodium hexametaphosphate would be obvious since Wegner teaches the use of sodium polyphosphate and yield predictable results.

Referring to claim 8, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to choose the component concentrations through routine experimentation before any real cleaning process is carried out in order to provide optimum concentrations for the cleaning process with predictable results.

Referring to claims 9-12, 14-17, AT teaches the pH is at least 11 (fig. 1) and Wegner further teaches that the cleaning/disinfecting composition can have many applications including disinfecting plant (paragraphs 0041-0045). Furthermore, page 2 of the specification describes that color change (including colors of violet, yellow, and green) during the cleaning process is described in AT, wherein certain color is associated with certain species of the manganese compounds as they are reacted to form other species. Therefore, tracking the intensity of the light emitted in the such color wavelength ranges in order to monitor the cleaning process would be obvious to one skilled in the art because those colors indicate the cleaning of the organic impurities through the consumption of the oxidizing agent as suggested by AT (described in the specification, page 2).

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3-8, 13-15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 16 and 17 are vague because the preamble of "a use of a solution..." appears to indicate they are method claims; however, there are no steps recited in the claim but the components of a solution, which would belong to a product claim. Clarification is required if they are method or product claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy-Vu N. Deo whose telephone number is 571-272-1462. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,593 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

5/4/09